

Changes of Nitrogen Distributions of Wheat Flours During Storage and Effect of Milling Method on the Changes

By

Hiromu SHIMOMURA and Yukio AWO

Laboratory of Food Chemistry, College of Agriculture

(Received August 31, 1964)

Introduction

It is a well known fact that various food products gradually deteriorate during storage. This deterioration may have a profound effect¹⁾ not only on nutritive values but also on physical and chemical properties of the products. In all cereal products these changes²⁾ are continually taking place regardless of how they are stored.

Accordingly the denaturation of proteins of wheat flour during storage should be also expected. If such denaturation takes place, the nitrogen distribution of flour proteins should be changed in proportion to the period of storage.

In the present work the change of nitrogen distribution during storage was investigated with several kinds of wheat flours. In addition to this, the changes caused by milling method were also investigated.

Experimental

Materials

Four samples of wheat were used; Canadian Manitoba No. 1, Igachikugooregon cropped in 1953 and 1954, and Norin No. 67. Manitoba No. 1 was imported from Canada for commercial use and the crop year is uncertain. The same sample was used in previous paper³⁾. Igachikugooregon (Igachikugo × Oregon) is a variety of wheat which is cultivated in Chubu District in Japan. Two samples of Igachikugooregon were cropped in Nagano Agricultural Experiment Station in Nagano Prefecture in Japan. Norin No. 67 (Garnet Ott. 652 × Kanto No. 20), a variety most commonly cultivated in Kanto District in Japan, was cropped in 1953 also in Nagano Agricultural Experiment Station described above. These wheats were milled immediately after they arrived at our laboratory.

Milling

Manitoba No. 1 and Igachikugooregon 1954 were milled to straight-run grade with the test rollermill by courtesy of Nisshin Milling Flour Co.. Igachikugooregon 1953 and Norin No. 67 were milled with the Wiley-mill (Shimazu Manufacturing Co.) and passed through a 60 mesh sieve.

Storage periods

These flours were stored in rubber-stoppered glass bottles at laboratory temperature. The periods of storage were as follows.

	Crop year	Period	
Manitoba No. 1	?	from Nov. 4, 1954 to June 27, 1955	(205 days)

Igachikugooregon	1954	from Dec. 10, 1954 to June 13, 1955	(169 days)
Igachikugooregon	1953	from Nov. 16, 1953 to Feb. 15, 1954	(91 days)
Norin No. 67	1953	from Nov. 4, 1953 to Feb. 1, 1954	(89 days)

Method of fractionation

The fractionation of flour proteins was carried out at the beginning and the end of the storage-period. The fractionation at the beginning was actually done after 3 or 4 weeks of the milling. The lapse of this period is necessary to stabilize the flour quality. The method of fractionation was same as described in the previous paper³⁾. The semimicro Kjeldahl method was used for the nitrogen determination.

Result

The analytical data of the four flours at the beginning of storage-periods are shown in Table 1 and the changes of the nitrogen distributions of these flours during storage are summarized in Tables 2-1 and 2-2.

Table 1. Description and Nitrogen Contents of Laboratory-milled Flours.

Flour	Variety	Grade	Milling	Yield %	Water %	N mg%	Protein N×5.7 %	N in water- free basis mg%	Protein in water- free basis %
I	*Manitoba No. 1	straight	roller	66.9	14.82	2030	11.57	2383	13.58
II	Igachikugooregon 1954	do.	do.	50.0	14.09	1650	9.41	1921	10.95
III	Igachikugooregon 1953	do.	Wiley	56.5	13.77	1690	9.61	1960	11.17
IV	Norin No. 67	do.	do.	52.5	13.79	1500	8.55	1740	9.92

*This wheat is the same as shown in Table 1-2 in the previous paper³⁾.

Every nitrogen change of a flour shown in the tables indicates a difference between two nitrogen values of a fraction obtained at the beginning and the end of the storage-period and the positive sign means nitrogen increase and the negative one nitrogen decrease of the fraction during storage.

Discussion

Comparison of the nitrogen distributions during storage between Flour I and II, both of which were milled with roller mill.

In the NaCl-soluble fractions shown in Tables 2-1 and 2-2, the NaCl-soluble nitrogens of these two flours decreased during storage with exception of Dialyzate-N. The increase of Dialyzate-N may be attributed to proteolysis during storage. The alcohol-soluble fraction of the two flours showed much increase during storage. The amount of increase was 11.4% for Flour I and 12.2% for Flour II as shown in Table 2-2. Any further fractionation of Alcohol extracts was not tried.

In fractions of 1st NaOH extract, Precipitate-fractions of Flour I and II and Supernatant-fraction of Flour II showed fairly distinct nitrogen changes during storage. It can be seen in Table 2-2 that the change occurred in Saturated NaCl-insoluble fraction. The increase of Dialyzate-fraction may be attributed again to proteolysis during storage.

Table 2-1. Nitrogen Distributions of Flour Proteins During Storage. (Milligrams per 100 gram of dehydrated flour)

Flour		I		II		III		IV	
		roller		roller		Wiley		Wiley	
		0	205	0	169	0	91	0	89
Method of milling		mg	mg	mg	mg	mg	mg	mg	mg
Days of storage		difference		difference		difference		difference	
		mg	mg	mg	mg	mg	mg	mg	mg
Total		2383	2695	1921	288.2	1960	352.5	1740	437.3
3 % NaCl extracts	1st extract	N	303.6	-34.1	288.2	-6.1	376.8	463.3	-26.0
	Supernatant after dialysis	N	97.8	-38.0	59.9	-15.9			
	Precipitate after dialysis	N	37.7	-14.3	37.2	-1.0			
70 % alcohol extracts	2nd & 3rd extracts	N	17.0	-6.2	25.6	-0.5			
	*Dialyzate	N	151.1	+24.4	155.2	+11.3			
	*1st, 2nd & 3rd extracts	N	448.7	-40.7	436.9	-19.1	158.8	213.9	+9.3
0.025 n NaOH extracts	1st extract	N	744.1	+271.6	503.1	+220.2	535.6	677.2	-16.7
	Super- natant solution	N	840.6	-89.6	652.2	-36.3	569.5	320.7	-72.7
	Saturated NaCl after dialysis	N	62.0	-2.1	67.1	+96.3	669.7	723.8	+54.1
NaOH extracts	1st extract	N	55.1	-2.2	58.3	+1.1			
	Precipitate after dialysis	N	6.0	+1.5	3.3	+98.6			
	*1st, 2nd & 3rd extracts	N	691.4	-112.6	519.8	-183.6	197.3	274.1	+39.9
Residue	1st extract	N	133.9	-59.1	88.2	+1.9	197.3	314.0	+39.9
	*Dialyzate	N	87.2	+25.1	112.3	+51.0	197.3	314.0	+39.9
	*Total	N (sum)	2386.6	-2380.2	1911.5	-1891.0	1972.1	1717.1	1743.0

Note: 1. A number shown in Gothic style indicates a difference between the two numbers, adjacent to each other in a line just on the left side of the number; positive sign indicates the increase and negative sign the decrease during storage.

2. The nitrogen value of the fraction marked by * was calculated from the others of the same flour shown in this table.

3. In the experiments with Flour III and IV, 2nd and 3rd NaOH extraction were omitted. Therefore, Residue N includes nitrogens which should be removed by the 2nd and 3rd extraction.

Table 2-2. Nitrogen Distributions of Flour Proteins During Storage.
(Grams per 100 gram of Total Nitrogen)

Flour		I		II		III		IV						
		roller		roller		Wiley		Wiley						
Method of milling		0	205	0	169	0	91	0	89					
Days of storage		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%					
		100		100		100		100						
			difference %		difference %		difference %		difference %					
3 % NaCl extracts	Total	N												
	1st extract	N	12.7	11.3	- 1.4	15.3	15.0	- 0.3	19.2	18.0	- 1.2	26.6	25.1	- 1.5
	Supernatant after dialysis	N	4.1	2.5	- 1.6	3.9	3.2	- 0.7						
	Precipitate after dialysis	3 % NaCl insoluble N	1.6	1.0	- 0.6	1.9	1.9	0						
	Dialyzate	N	0.7	0.5	- 0.2	1.4	1.4	0						
	2nd & 3rd extracts	N	6.3	7.4	+ 1.1	8.1	8.8	+ 0.7	8.1	8.7	+ 0.6	12.3	12.8	+ 0.5
	1st, 2nd & 3rd extracts	N	6.1	5.8	- 0.3	7.4	6.5	- 0.9	27.3	26.7	- 0.6	38.9	37.9	- 1.0
	1st, 2nd & 3rd extracts	N	18.8	17.1	- 1.7	22.7	21.5	- 1.2	29.1	26.6	- 2.5	18.4	14.3	- 4.1
	1st alcohol extracts	N	31.2	42.6	+ 11.4	26.2	38.4	+ 12.2	34.2	36.9	+ 2.7	26.7	30.0	+ 3.3
	70 % alcohol extracts	N	35.3	31.5	- 3.8	34.0	32.7	- 1.3						
0.025 n NaOH extracts	1st extract	N	2.6	2.5	- 0.1	3.5	3.1	+ 0.4						
	Supernatant solution after dialysis	Supernatant saturated NaCl insoluble N	2.3	2.2	- 0.1	3.0	3.1	+ 0.1						
	Precipitate after dialysis	Saturated NaCl insoluble N	0.3	0.3	0	0.2	5.4	+ 5.2						
	Precipitate after dialysis	Precipitate soluble N	29.2	24.3	- 4.9	27.1	17.8	- 9.3						
	Precipitate after dialysis	Saturated NaCl insoluble N	5.6	3.1	- 2.5	4.6	4.8	+ 0.2						
	Dialyzate	N	23.0	20.9	- 2.1	22.0	12.6	- 9.4						
	2nd & 3rd extracts	N	3.7	4.7	+ 1.0	3.4	6.2	+ 2.8						
	1st, 2nd & 3rd extracts	N	10.6	6.0	- 4.6	13.7	4.9	- 8.8						
	Residue	N	45.9	37.5	- 8.4	47.6	36.9	- 10.7						
	Sum (Recovery)	N	4.2	2.6	- 1.6	2.9	2.5	- 0.4	10.1	9.9	- 0.2	15.8	18.1	+ 2.3
		100.3	99.8		99.5	98.3		100.7	100.1		98.7	100.2		

Note: The nitrogen values of all fractions besides those of the differences were recalculated from the corresponding values shown in Table 2-1.

Table 3. Balances of Nitrogen Differences of Fractions
(Rollermilled Flours)

		3 % NaCl extracts	0.025n NaOH extracts	Residue	Sum	70% alcohol extracts
		1st, 2nd & 3rd extracts	1st, 2nd & 3rd extracts			<i>N-difference</i>
		<i>N-difference</i>	<i>N-difference</i>			
Flour I	mg	- 40.7	-199.7	- 37.6	-278.0	+271.6
	%	- 1.7	- 8.2	- 1.6	- 11.5	+ 11.4
Flour II	mg	- 25.2	-206.1	- 9.4	-240.7	+220.2
	%	- 1.2	- 10.1	- 0.4	- 11.7	+ 12.2

As shown in Table 3, the increase of the alcohol-soluble fraction is approximately equal to the sum of the decreases of the other three fractions. In other words, NaCl-soluble, NaOH-soluble, and Residue-nitrogen were partly converted into the alcohol-soluble nitrogen during storage.

Comparison of the nitrogen distributions during storage between the Wiley-milled and the roller-milled flour.

The nitrogen distributions of the Wiley-milled flours were remarkably different from those of the rollermilled flours. As shown in Table 2-2, the nitrogen values of the Wiley-milled flours are larger in 3% NaCl extracts and smaller in 70% alcohol extracts than those of the rollermilled flours. These two flours were also different in the nitrogen changes. The alcohol-soluble fractions decreased and 1st NaOH extract-fractions increased in the Wiley-milled flours during storage, while the alcohol-soluble fractions increased and 1st NaOH extract-fractions decreased in the rollermilled flours. Especially the increases of the alcohol-soluble fractions of the roller-milled flours were much large.

As shown in Note 3 in Table 2-1, the nitrogen value of Residue-fraction of the Wiley-milled flour is the sum of the original Residue-nitrogen and the nitrogen of 2nd & 3rd NaOH extracts of the same flour. The sums for Flour I and II corresponding to those for Flour III and IV were calculated as shown below.

	Flour I			Flour II		
	0	205	difference	0	169	difference
Days of storage	%	%	%	%	%	%
2nd & 3rd NaOH extracts N	10.6	6.0	-4.6	13.7	4.9	-8.8
Residue N	4.2	2.6	-1.6	2.9	2.5	-0.4
Sum	14.8	8.6	-6.2	16.6	7.4	-9.2

The results show that the change during storage of Residue-fraction of the Wiley-milled flour tends to the positive direction while that of the rollermilled flour distinctly to the negative one. Table 4 shows the nitrogen balances for the Wiley-milled flours calculated as in Table 3.

It is obvious from this table that the NaCl-soluble and the alcohol-soluble nitrogen were converted partly into the NaOH-soluble or Residue-nitrogen during storage. Therefore, the Wiley-milled flour is entirely different in the tendency of conversion from the rollermilled flour. This fact might be due to the difference between the milling methods.

Table 4. Balances of Nitrogen Differences of Fractions.
(Wiley-milled Flours)

		3% NaCl extracts	70% alcohol extracts	0.025n NaOH extracts	* Residue	Sum
		1st, 2nd & 3rd extracts		1st extract		
		<i>N-difference</i>	<i>N-difference</i>	<i>N-difference</i>		
Flour III	mg	-12.2(-0.6)	-48.6(-2.5)		-4.0(-0.2)	-64.8(-3.3)
	mg			+54.1(+2.7)		+54.1(+2.7)
Flour IV	mg	-16.7(-1.0)	-72.7(-4.1)			-89.4(-5.1)
	mg			+55.4(+3.3)	+39.9(+2.3)	+95.3(+5.6)

The numbers put in parentheses are expressed in percentages.

* This fraction contains not only original Residue-N but also 2nd & 3rd NaOH-soluble N.

These effects of storage on the wheat flour proteins may be caused by enzymes. A enzyme which participates in the deterioration of the proteins during storage may be a proteinase but its activity in wheat flour is fairly faint⁴⁾. It is suggested from the small nitrogen changes of Dialyzate-fractions of 1st NaCl- and 1st NaOH-extract shown in Tables 2-1 and 2-2 that the flour proteinase is not a leading cause for the deterioration during storage.

Of particular interest is the work of Jones and Gersdorff²⁾ on the changes occurring in proteins of wheat flour during storage. They reported the decreases in solubility and in digestibility of proteins during storage and the greatest decrease was found in solubility in 3% NaCl solution. They stated also that the alternation of the proteins is ascribed to the effect of enzymes and the oxidation. However, their results are strikingly contrasted with ours.

Cuendet et al.⁵⁾ demonstrated the development of oxidative rancidity of wheat flour during storage at various moisture levels. It is easily admitted that the intermediate products which were produced through the processes of the development of the rancidity would inevitably participate in the nonenzymatic browning reaction.

Summary

1. The changes of nitrogen distributions during storage were investigated with some rollermilled and Wiley-milled flours.
2. The change of rollermilled flour during storage was largest in alcohol-soluble fraction but that of Wiley-milled flour was generally small.
3. In the rollermilled flour NaCl-soluble and NaOH-soluble nitrogen were converted into alcohol-soluble nitrogen during storage, whilst in Wiley-milled flour NaCl-soluble and alcohol-soluble nitrogen were converted into NaOH-soluble or Residue-nitrogen.
4. The causes of the deterioration of flour proteins were discussed.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the supply of wheat samples from the Nagano Agricultural Experiment Station and the Nisshin Milling Flour Co.. We also owed the milling of the samples to the Nisshin Flour Milling Co..

References

- 1) R. S. HARRIS and H. BON LOESECKE: "Nutritional Evaluation of Food Processing." Jchr.

Jan., 1965] H. SHIMOMURA & Y. AWO: *Changes of Nitrogen Distributions of Wheat Flours* 127

Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1960.

- 2) D. B. JONES and C. E. F. GERSDORFF: *Cereal Chem.*, **18**, 417 (1941).
- 3) H. SHIMOMURA and Y. AWO: *Bull. Uniu. Osaka Pref., Ser. B*, **16**, 113 (1965).
- 4) B. D. HITES, R. M. SANDSTEDP and L. SCHAUMBERG: *Cereal Chem.*, **30**, 404 (1953).
- 5) L. S. CUENDET, E. LARSON, C. G. NORRIS and W. F. GEDDES: *Cereal Chem.*, **31**, 362 (1954).